McMaster University

Mathematics and Statistics

$$\int_M d\omega = \int_{\partial M} \omega$$

Mathematics 4MB3/6MB3 Mathematical Biology

Instructor: David Earn

Lecture 1
Epidemic Modelling Intro
Monday 8 January 2018

Where to find course information

- The course web page:
  http://www.math.mcmaster.ca/earn/4MB3
- Click on "Course information".
- Download pdf or read online.
- Let's have a look now...

Who is NOT available at these times?

- Monday 10:30-11:30
- Monday 12:30-1:30
- Wednesday 10:30-11:30
- Wednesday 12:30-1:30
- Friday 12:30–1:30
- Friday 2:30–3:20

Group formation

Most work in this course will be done in groups.

- Attempt to form a group of 4 students (you and 3 others) **no later than Thursday night this week.**
- After you have done your best to form a group of four, **exactly one** member of your group must `e-mail the instructor` **no later than Thursday night this week:**
  - Include "Math 4MB3" and your proposed group name in the subject line.
  - **Copy your message to all members of your proposed group so I have everyone's e-mail in the thread.**
- If you were unable to form a group, then e-mail the instructor explaining what you did to try to form a group, and describe your skills/preferences. (*This is a last resort – please try your best to form a group.*)
- *Instructor may change groups based on survey results.*

Online Surveys

You will be required to fill in online surveys during this course. Doing so in a timely manner contributes to your participation mark.

The first online survey has been posted:

- Go to the Surveys page on the course web site.
- Follow the link for Background and Group formation Survey.
- Complete the survey **no later than 11:59pm this Thursday (11 Jan 2018)**.
- It should take only ~ 5 minutes.
- Note that *surveys sometimes fail to save*.
  - Type long answers into a file first and paste them into the survey. Then you won't get as frustrated if it fails to save.

Software

- **ASAP**, install the software discussed on the Software page on the course web site:
  - LaTeX

  - R
  - RStudio
  - XPPAUT
  - Emacs

- If you have installation problems, please contact Ken Moyle <moylek@mcmaster.ca>, who created the Software page.
- *Note:* the Software page also contains some info about spell-checking and counting words in LaTeX documents.

Epidemic Modelling

Pneumonia & Influenza Mortality, Philadelphia, 1918



Modelling challenge

Develop a model that helps us understand the graph on the previous slide, based on mechanisms of disease spread.

- Only one variable is observed (P&I deaths per day) so construct a model containing only one variable.
- Think about how disease spreads and express your thoughts with mathematical notation.
- Derive a differential equation that models the process of disease transmission.
- Analyze the model and determine its strengths and weaknesses/limitations.

Make (Biological) Assumptions Clear

1. *Assume* the disease is transmitted by contact between an infected individual and a susceptible individual.
2. *Assume* the latent period (delay between being infected and becoming infectious) is so short that it can be ignored (technically assume it is zero).
3. *Assume* all members of the population are identical and respond identically to the disease. In particular, all susceptible individuals are equally susceptible and all infected individuals are equally infectious.
4. *Assume* the population size is fixed during the epidemic, *i.e.*, ignore births, migration, and deaths from causes other than the disease, and count individuals who have died from the disease as part of the population.

About Assumptions. . .

- Note that the first assumption on the previous slide is actually correct.
- The other assumptions are wrong, but are reasonable approximations.
- It is best to *start as simple as possible and add complexity later,* in order to:
  - obtain a model that actually succeeds in explaining the data with as few assumptions as possible;
  - check that inferences we draw from our model(s) are robust to the inclusion of more biological details/realism.

What variables should we include in our model?

- Independent variable: time (t)
- Dependent variable: Many options, *e.g.*,
  - Incidence (number of new infections per unit time)
  - Prevalence (total current number of infected individuals)
  - Death rate (number of deaths per unit time)
  - Death toll (number of deaths so far)
- So, what would be best?
- Not deaths, because whether or not you die may be unrelated to how much you transmit.
- But deaths are what we observe! What to do?!?
- Make another assumption...

Additional assumption(s)

- We actually want to know incidence or prevalence, but we observe deaths.
- Under what circumstances would daily deaths be a good estimate of incidence? (*i.e.*, What must we assume in addition to the assumptions we have already made.)
  5. *Assume* that the time from infection to death is exactly the same (a certain number of days) for every individual who dies.
  6. *Assume* that the probability of dying from the disease is the same for every individual who is infected.
- Then daily death counts are proportional to daily incidence a certain number of days in the past, *i.e.*, the "mortality curve" that we observe is a translated and scaled version of the "epidemic curve" (new cases per day).

So. . . what variables should we include in our model?

- Independent variable: time (t)
- Dependent variable: one of:
  - Incidence (number of new infections per unit time)
  - Prevalence (total current number of infected individuals)
- Which one?
- Choose prevalence (I) because anybody who is currently infectious can infect others, so it will probably be easier to formulate a transmission model based on prevalence. (Try not to lose sight of underlying biological mechanisms.)
- But our mortality curve is related to incidence, not prevalence!?! Argh. What to do?!?
- Let's work with prevalence and see how it works out. Maybe we'll be able to derive the incidence curve from a model based on prevalence.

McMaster University

Mathematics and Statistics

$$\int_M d\omega = \int_{\partial M} \omega$$

Mathematics 4MB3/6MB3 Mathematical Biology

Instructor: David Earn

Lecture 2
SI Model
Wednesday 10 January 2018

Announcements

- Group names and members are listed on the Groups page on the course web site.
- Assignment 1 is due when class starts on Monday 22 Jan 2018.
- Links to GitHub and Dropbox are posted on the Software page. There are many other tools for online collaboration, some specific to LaTeX.
- Have you successfully installed the required software?

Notational note

- We use I for prevalence because prevalence is the number of infected individuals.

- So, let's try to write down a model...

A first (naïve) attempt at an epidemic model

- Variables: time t, prevalence $I(t)$
- How does I increase?
- Start with I_0 infected individuals at time $t = 0$. What happens for $t > 0$.
- Let $B =$ average number of contacts with susceptible individuals that lead to a new infective per unit time per infective in the population (and suppose B is constant). Then

$$I(t + \Delta t) \simeq I(t) + B\, I(t)\Delta t$$

- In the limit $\Delta t \to 0$, we have

$$\frac{dI}{dt} = BI \quad \Longrightarrow \quad I(t) = I_0 e^{Bt}$$

Beware: implicit assumptions that should be explicit

- Ignored discrete nature of individuals when taking limit.
- *Ignored finite infectious periods!*
  - Sometimes it isn't obvious that we've made some assumptions until after we see what the model predicts.

How can we tell if our model is good?

- Compare model predictions with data.
- What is the best way to do that?
- Depends on what predictions we're trying to test.
- Model predicts exponential growth.
  How should we test that prediction?
- Transforming the data might help.

Original data: P&I Mortality, Philadelphia, 1918



Logarithmic scale: P&I Mortality, Philadelphia, 1918



Parameter estimation

How can we estimate the model parameters, I_0 and B, from the P&I data?

- Fit a straight line through the part of the logarithmic mortality curve that looks straight.
- The slope of the line is B.
- The "intercept" is $\log I_0$.
  - "Intercept" in quotes because we need to define $t = 0$ as the time when exponential growth begins.
- *Note:* Parameter estimation is, in general, a very tricky business and deserves a great deal of attention (beyond the scope of this course).

Naïve epidemic model

- Variables: time t, prevalence $I(t)$
- Parameter B = average number of contacts with susceptible individuals that lead to a new infective per unit time per infective in the population

$$\frac{dI}{dt} = BI \quad \Longrightarrow \quad I(t) = I_0 e^{Bt}$$



Naïve model: the good and the bad

- Good:
  - Makes clear predictions
  - Predictions can be tested
  - Estimation of parameter (B) is easy
    - B is the slope of the straight portion of the epidemic curve on the log scale. (Why?)
    - Remember we are imagining that the mortality curve is equivalent to the epidemic curve after translation and scaling.
    - Why do translation and scaling not affect the estimate of B? Assignment 2...
- Bad:
  - Model is consistent only with exponential growth phase.
  - Absurd long-term prediction: unbounded growth in $I(t)$
    - Implicitly assumed that population size $N = \infty$.

How can we improve our model?

- Insist that population size is finite ($N < \infty$).
- Keep track of both infectives $I(t)$ and susceptibles $S(t)$.
- Assume individuals who are *not infected* are susceptible:

$$I(t) + S(t) = N = \text{constant}.$$

New model parameter(s)?

- B = average number of contacts with susceptible individuals that lead to a new infective *per* unit time *per* infective
- In the naïve model, we assumed B = constant.
  Is B really constant?
- B depends on how many susceptibles there are.
- $B = \beta S(t)$
- β = average number of contacts between susceptibles and infectives that lead to a new infective
  per unit time
  per infective
  per susceptible
- β is called the **transmission rate**.

Revised epidemic model: "SI model"

$$\frac{dI}{dt} = \beta S(t) I(t)$$

- Two state variables. One equation. Problem? No:

$$\frac{dS}{dt} = -\beta S(t) I(t)$$

- But $S(t) = N - I(t)$ $\quad \Longrightarrow \quad$ $I(t)$ is still the only variable:

$$\frac{dI}{dt} = \beta I(N - I)$$

- Is this a better model?
- What does it predict?

SI model: Example solution



SI model: Analysis

- We can find the exact solution. How?

$$I(t) = \frac{I_0 e^{N\beta t}}{1 + (I_0/N)(e^{N\beta t} - 1)}$$

- But exact solution is not particularly enlightening.
- Qualitative analysis:
  - Initially $I \ll N$. What does the model predict then? Exponential growth. Great!
  - As I grows, growth rate slows. Why? Fewer and fewer susceptibles to infect.
  - Asymptotic behaviour? Equilibria? Periodic orbits? (periodic orbit = recurrent epidemics)
  - (Non-trivial) periodic orbits impossible in one dimension (existence-uniqueness theorem).
  - Consider equilibria...

SI model: Equilibrium Analysis

$$\frac{dI}{dt} = \beta I(N - I), \qquad I \in [0, N]$$

- Two equilibria:
  - $I = 0$ Disease Free Equilibrium (**DFE**)
  - $I = N$ Endemic Equilibrium (**EE**)
- Stability:
  - DFE is unstable ($0 < I < N \implies dI/dt > 0$)
  - EE is locally asymptotically stable (**LAS**)
  - EE is globally asymptotically stable (**GAS**)
    (stability of EE follows from $0 < I < N \implies dI/dt > 0$)
    (GAS requires a little more analysis... Assignment 1)
  - *Note:* In one dimension, global analysis always easy.
    In higher dimensions, often try to find Lyapunov function.
    (Lyapunov function for EE of SI model?... Assignment 1)
- Conclusions identical for any $\beta > 0$.

SI model: Biological Inferences

- For *any* transmission rate β:
  - Initially, exponential growth of cases.
  - Eventually, convergence to equilibrium (EE) at which *everyone* in the population is infective. hmmm...
- Is this model better than our first naïve model? YES.
  - Still correctly predict initial exponential growth.
  - Can match epidemic curve for longer (up to the peak).
  - Does not predict absurd unbounded growth in infective population.
  - But this model cannot explain the decline of the epidemic.
- What should we do? Two obvious options:
  1. Get depressed, drop the course.
  2. Try to improve the model.

McMaster University

Mathematics and Statistics

$$\int_M d\omega = \int_{\partial M} \omega$$

Mathematics 4MB3/6MB3 Mathematical Biology

Instructor: David Earn

Lecture 3
SIR Model
Friday 12 January 2018

Motivating data: 1918 flu in Philadelphia

- Mortality curve (linear scale)
- Mortality curve (log scale)

How can we improve on the SI model?

- Include a key biological fact:
  Individuals do not stay infectious with flu forever
- Either they *recover* and are immune thereafter, or they *die* (doesn't matter which) (well, maybe to them it does)
- Why don't we care if someone recovers or dies?
  (*i.e.*, Why doesn't it affect our dynamical inferences?)
- Because in either case the individual is *removed* from the transmission process, hence cannot affect the future pattern of the epidemic.

The SIR model

Introduce new class of removed individuals:

- $R(t)$ = number of individuals who have either recovered and are now immune or have died
- Let γ = rate of removal from the infective class (via recovery or death)

$$\frac{dS}{dt} = -\beta SI$$
$$\frac{dI}{dt} = \beta SI - \gamma I$$
$$\frac{dR}{dt} = \gamma I$$

- Note: $\frac{dS}{dt} + \frac{dI}{dt} + \frac{dR}{dt} = 0 \implies S + I + R = N = \text{constant}$
- Convenient to rescale variables by N and interpret S, I, R as *proportions* of the population in each disease state.

The SIR model: Example numerical solution



The SIR model: Flow Chart and Parameters



$$\frac{dS}{dt} = -\beta SI$$

$$\frac{dI}{dt} = \beta SI - \gamma I$$

$$\frac{dR}{dt} = \gamma I$$

- **Parameters:**
  - Transmission rate β
  - Recovery rate γ (or Removal rate)

The SIR model: Derived Parameters



$$\frac{dS}{dt} = -\beta SI$$

$$\frac{dI}{dt} = \beta SI - \gamma I$$

$$\frac{dR}{dt} = \gamma I$$

- **Derived Parameters:**
  - Initial growth rate $\beta - \gamma$
  - Mean infectious period $\frac{1}{\gamma}$
  - Basic Reproduction Number

$$\mathcal{R}_0 = \frac{\beta}{\gamma}$$

The SIR model: Derived parameters

The initial growth rate

- How do we calculate the initial growth rate from our model?
- Consider change in prevalence initially (when $I \ll 1$):

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dI}{dt} &= \beta SI - \gamma I \\ &= \big(\beta S - \gamma\big) I \\ &\approx \big(\beta - \gamma\big) I \qquad \text{if } S \sim 1 \text{ initially.}\end{aligned}$$

- $\therefore$ Initially $\quad I(t) \approx I_0 e^{(\beta-\gamma)t}$.
- $\therefore$ Initial slope of logged prevalence curve is $\quad \beta - \gamma$.

The SIR model: Derived parameters

The mean infectious period

- How do we calculate the mean infectious period from our model?
- Suppose at time 0 there are I_0 infectious individuals, and suppose we can *prevent contact with susceptibles*.
- The equation for I then simplifies to

$$\frac{dI}{dt} = -\gamma I\,, \qquad I(0) = I_0$$

- We can solve this immediately to find

$$I(t) = I_0 e^{-\gamma t}$$

The SIR model: Derived parameters

The mean infectious period, continued...

- Thus, after time t, the number of people still infectious is reduced by a factor $e^{-\gamma t}$
- *i.e.*, the proportion of individuals who have an infectious period shorter than t is $1 - e^{-\gamma t}$
- *i.e.*, the cumulative distribution of the infectious period is $C(t) = 1 - e^{-\gamma t}$.
- Therefore, the probability density of the infectious period is $p(t) = C'(t) = \frac{d}{dt}\left(1 - e^{-\gamma t}\right) = \gamma e^{-\gamma t}$
- The mean of this exponential probability distribution is $\int_0^\infty t\, p(t)\, dt = \int_0^\infty t\, \gamma e^{-\gamma t}\, dt = \frac{1}{\gamma}$

The SIR model: Derived parameters

The basic reproduction number $\mathcal{R}_0$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{R}_0 &= \beta \cdot \frac{1}{\gamma} \\ &= (\text{transmission rate}) \\ &\qquad \times (\text{mean infectious period})\end{aligned}$$

- $\mathcal{R}_0$ is dimensionless
- $\mathcal{R}_0$ is the average number of secondary cases caused by a primary case (in a wholly susceptible population).
- We must have $\mathcal{R}_0 > 1$ to have an epidemic. Why?
  - $\frac{dI}{dt} = \beta SI - \gamma I = \big(\mathcal{R}_0 S - 1\big)\gamma I$
  - $\therefore \mathcal{R}_0 \leq 1 \implies \frac{dI}{dt} \leq 0$ for all $(S, I) \in [0,1]^2 \implies$ no growth

The SIR model: Analysis (biological well-posedness)

$$\frac{dS}{dt} = -\beta SI$$

$$\frac{dI}{dt} = \beta SI - \gamma I$$

Be careful:
Is this a sensible biological model?

- We need S, I and R all non-negative at all times.
- Does $0 \leq S(0) + I(0) \leq 1$ imply $0 \leq S(t) + I(t) \leq 1$ for all $t > 0$?
  - $S = 0 \implies S' = 0$, so $S(0) \geq 0 \implies S(t) \geq 0 \ \forall t > 0$.
  - $I = 0 \implies I' = 0$, so $I(0) \geq 0 \implies I(t) \geq 0 \ \forall t > 0$.
  - $(S + I)' = S' + I' = -\gamma I \leq 0$
    $\implies S + I$ is always non-increasing
    $\implies S(t) + I(t) \leq S(0) + I(0) \leq 1$.

The SIR model: Analysis (equilibria *etc.*)

$$\frac{dS}{dt} = -\beta SI$$

$$\frac{dI}{dt} = \beta SI - \gamma I$$

- **Equilibria:** $(S, I) = (S_0, 0)$ for any $S_0 \in [0, 1]$
  - Continuum of equilibria.
  - Does this make sense?
  - Biological meaning of equilibria?

- **Linearization:**
  - $DF_{(s,I)} = \begin{pmatrix} -\beta I & -\beta S \\ \beta I & \beta S - \gamma \end{pmatrix}$
  - $DF_{(s_0,0)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\beta S_0 \\ 0 & \beta S_0 - \gamma \end{pmatrix}$
  - All equilibria are *non-hyperbolic*.

- **Periodic orbits:**
  - $(S + I)' = -\gamma I$
    $\Longrightarrow$ no periodic orbits. Why?
    - If $I(0) = 0$ then equilibrium.
    - If $I(0) > 0$ then $(S + I)' < 0$, so cannot increase back to initial state.
  - Also follows from Index Theorem (cannot enclose any equilibria).

McMaster University

Mathematics and Statistics

$$\int_M d\omega = \int_{\partial M} \omega$$

Mathematics 4MB3/6MB3 Mathematical Biology

Instructor: David Earn

Lecture 4
SIR Model II
Monday 15 January 2018

Announcements

- Groups are formed.
- Assignment 1 is due when class starts on Monday 22 Jan 2018.

The SIR model: Analysis

$$\frac{dS}{dt} = -\beta SI$$

$$\frac{dI}{dt} = \beta SI - \gamma I$$

Nullclines:

- $S' = 0 \implies S = 0$ or $I = 0$
  - S nullclines: both coordinate axes
- $I' = 0 \implies I = 0$ or $S = \gamma/\beta$
  - I nullclines: S axis and vertical line at $S = 1/\mathcal{R}_0$
  - Is the I nullcline at $S = 1/\mathcal{R}_0$ always relevant?
    - If, and only if, $\mathcal{R}_0 > 1$.
    - If $\mathcal{R}_0 < 1$ then $S = 1/\mathcal{R}_0$ is outside the biologically relevant region of the (S, I) phase plane.

The SIR model: Analysis

$$\frac{dS}{dt} = -\beta SI$$

$$\frac{dI}{dt} = \beta SI - \gamma I$$

Nullclines and Direction Field ($\mathcal{R}_0 = 4$):



The SIR model: Analysis

$$\frac{dS}{dt} = -\beta SI$$

$$\frac{dI}{dt} = \beta SI - \gamma I$$

Phase Portrait:

- We cannot find solutions $S(t)$ and $I(t)$ for this system.
- We *can* find exact analytical solution for the phase portrait!

- *i.e.*, we can find an expression $I(S)$ for solution curves in the (S, I) phase plane.
- Slope of $I(S)$ depends only on S:

$$\frac{dI}{dS} = \frac{dI/dt}{dS/dt} = -1 + \frac{1}{\mathcal{R}_0 S} \qquad (*)$$

- *Note:* Slope is flat for $S = 1/\mathcal{R}_0$, so max or min of $I(S)$ occurs on I nullcline if $\mathcal{R}_0 > 1$
- Easy to integrate $(*)$:
  $\int_{I_0}^{I} dI = \int_{S_0}^{S} \left(-1 + \frac{1}{\mathcal{R}_0 S}\right) dS$
- $I - I_0 = -(S - S_0) + \frac{1}{\mathcal{R}_0} \log(S/S_0)$

The SIR model: Analysis

Model Equations:

$$\frac{dS}{dt} = -\beta SI$$

$$\frac{dI}{dt} = \beta SI - \gamma I$$

Solution Curves in Phase Plane:

$$I + S - (I_0 + S_0) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{R}_0} \log(S/S_0)$$

Phase Portrait ($\mathcal{R}_0 = 4$):



The SIR model: Analysis

Model Equations:

$$\frac{dS}{dt} = -\beta SI$$

$$\frac{dI}{dt} = \beta SI - \gamma I$$

Solution Curves in Phase Plane:

$$I + S - (I_0 + S_0) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{R}_0} \log (S/S_0)$$

Final Size of Epidemic:

- As $t \to \infty$ we have $(I_\infty + S_\infty) - (I_0 + S_0) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{R}_0} \log S_\infty / S_0$
- But for a newly invading pathogen: $S_0 \simeq 1$, $I_0 \simeq 0$, $I_\infty = 0$
- In the limit $I_0 \to 0$, we have $(S_\infty - 1) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{R}_0} \log S_\infty$
- Define "Final Size" $Z = 1 - S_\infty$
- $\therefore -Z = \frac{1}{\mathcal{R}_0} \log (1 - Z)$, *i.e.*,

$$Z = 1 - e^{-\mathcal{R}_0 Z}$$

- This is a famous formula, derived by Kermack and McKendrick in 1927.

The SIR model: Analysis

Final Size Formula:

$$Z = 1 - e^{-\mathcal{R}_0 Z}$$

- Final size is determined entirely by $\mathcal{R}_0$
- Final size is never the whole population ($Z < 1$)
- Formula is valid for much more realistic models (Ma & Earn, 2006)



- For 1918 flu: $1.5 \lesssim \mathcal{R}_0 \lesssim 2$
- Proportion of world population infected?
- $\sim$ 60–80%

From Final Size to Reproduction Number

- The final size relation allows us to estimate the proportion of the population that will be infected *given* an estimate of $\mathcal{R}_0$.
- But we can turn it around: if we know the final size Z then we can easily estimate $\mathcal{R}_0$:

$$Z = 1 - e^{-\mathcal{R}_0 Z} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \mathcal{R}_0 = -\frac{1}{Z}\log(1-Z)$$

- This is useful *post-hoc* only (*after* an epidemic).

The SIR model: Non-dimensionalization

- Often helpful to use dimensionless parameters.
- How do we identify "the right" dimensionless parameters?
- $\frac{\beta}{\gamma}$? $\frac{\gamma}{\beta}$? $\frac{\beta}{\beta+\gamma}$? $\frac{\beta^2}{\beta^2+\gamma^2}$?
- We choose β/γ because it has a natural interpretation.
- But we are still left with γ as a second parameter.
- Can we simplify the model somehow?
- γ defines a time scale ($1/\gamma$ is the mean infectious period).
- If time unit is mean infectious period, then $\gamma = 1$.
- So in these "natural" time units, the SIR model is

$$\frac{dS}{dt} = -\mathcal{R}_0 SI, \quad \frac{dI}{dt} = \mathcal{R}_0 SI - I$$

- There is really only one parameter in the model. The other is just a time scale and does not affect the *qualitative* dynamics.

The SIR model: Results so far

Mathematical Results:

- Model is biologically well-posed
  - $0 \leq S(0) + I(0) \leq 1 \implies 0 \leq S(t) + I(t) \leq 1 \quad \forall t > 0$
- No periodic orbits.
- Continuum of equilibria.
- Stability of equilibria:
  - Linearization useless (all equilibria non-hyperbolic).
  - Further analysis necessary.
- Exact solution for phase portrait:
  $$I(S) = I_0 + (S_0 - S) + \frac{1}{\mathcal{R}_0} \log(S/S_0)$$
- Final size formula: $Z = 1 - e^{-\mathcal{R}_0 Z}$

The SIR model: Stability of equilibria

Phase Portrait ($\mathcal{R}_0 = 4$):



Model Equations:

$$\frac{dS}{dt} = -\beta SI, \quad \frac{dI}{dt} = \beta SI - \gamma I$$

Which equilibria are:

- Unstable?
  - $S_0 > 1/\mathcal{R}_0$
- Stable?
  - $S_0 \leq 1/\mathcal{R}_0$
- Asymptotically stable?
  - None!
- How do we prove these facts? (**Assignment 1**)

The SIR model: Effects of Control Measures

- How could the final size of the 1918 pandemic have been reduced?
- Any intervention that reduces $\mathcal{R}_0$ reduces the final size.
- What could have been done to reduce $\mathcal{R}_0$?
- Masks? Quarantine? Isolation?
- Ideally a vaccine, but no such luck in 1918.
- Even in 2009, it took months to mass-produce vaccine.
- But suppose there had been a vaccine immediately...
- What proportion (p) of the population do we need to vaccinate to eradicate an infectious disease?

The SIR model: Effects of Control Measures

Suppose a proportion (p) of the population is vaccinated before an epidemic starts. Then:

- At the start of the epidemic, the proportion of the population that is susceptible is $S_0 = 1 - p$.
- $\therefore$ Initially (at time $t = 0$) the rate of change of prevalence is

$$\begin{aligned} \left.\frac{dI}{dt}\right|_{t=0} &= \Big((\mathcal{R}_0 S - 1) I \Big)\Big|_{t=0} = (\mathcal{R}_0 S_0 - 1) I_0 \\ &= (\mathcal{R}_0(1-p) - 1) I_0 \quad < 0 \iff \mathcal{R}_0(1-p) < 1 \end{aligned}$$

- $\therefore$ An epidemic will be prevented if

$$p > p_{\text{crit}} = 1 - \frac{1}{\mathcal{R}_0}$$

- $\therefore$ Public Health Agency will ask you to estimate $\mathcal{R}_0$.

The SIR model: Results so far

Biological inferences:

- $\mathcal{R}_0$ is extremely important to estimate in practice!
- Epidemic occurs if and only if $\mathcal{R}_0 > 1$.
- Single epidemic, then disease disappears.
  - Proof?
    Hint: Every non-equilibrium solution is a heteroclinic orbit.
- Can prevent epidemic by vaccinating (or otherwise removing) a proportion $1 - \frac{1}{\mathcal{R}_0}$ from the transmission process.

The SIR model: Does it explain our data?

What about 1918 flu in Philadelphia?



- Does the SIR model explain these data?
- Can we fit the SIR model to the P&I time series?
- If so, are our estimated parameter values (for $\mathcal{R}_0$ and $1/\gamma$) biologically reasonable?
- Answers: **Assignment 2...**

The SIR model: How solutions depend on $\mathcal{R}_0$



McMaster University

Mathematics and Statistics

$$\int_M d\omega = \int_{\partial M} \omega$$

Mathematics 4MB3/6MB3 Mathematical Biology

Instructor: David Earn

Lecture 5
LaTeX Intro
Wednesday 17 January 2018

Announcements

- Groups are formed.
- Assignment 1 is due when class starts on Monday 22 Jan 2018.

LaTeX

TeX and LaTeX

- TeX is a computer-based typesetting system designed and implemented by Donald Knuth in the 1970s.
- LaTeX is a particular TeX format written by Leslie Lamport. It has become the *de facto* standard for mathematical typesetting (*e.g.*, books, journal articles, ...).
- TeX has played an important role in the evolution of principles of software development.
  - Literate programming
  - Reproducible research
- Immediate goal: learn enough LaTeX to do Assignment 1.
- Goal for the term: become sufficiently competent with LaTeX and R so that the final project can be submitted as a fully reproducible document that "knits" LaTeX and R together.