

Mathematics 3A03 — Real Analysis I

TERM TEST #1 — 29 October 2019

Duration: 90 minutes

- Print your name and student number clearly in the space below, with one character in each box.

- Write your signature here: _____.

Notes:

- No calculators, notes, scrap paper, or aids of any kind are permitted.
- This test consists of **10 pages** (*i.e.*, **5 double-sided pages**). There are **7 questions** in total. Bring any discrepancy to the attention of your instructor or invigilator.
- All questions are to be answered on this test paper. There is one blank page after question 6 and an additional three blank pages at the end.
- The first 4 questions do not require any justification for your answers. For these, you will be assessed on your answers only. *Do not justify your answers to these questions.*
- Always write clearly. An answer that cannot be deciphered cannot be marked.
- The marking scheme is indicated in the margin. The maximum total mark is 60.

GOOD LUCK and ENJOY!

MARKS

[2] **QUESTION 1.** (Circle the correct answer.) For each of the following sets, determine whether it is **Countable** or **Uncountable**. Do not justify your answers.

(a) $\mathbb{N} \cap \mathbb{R}$ **Countable** **Uncountable**

(b) $\{2^{k/2}k^n : n \in \mathbb{N}, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ **Countable** **Uncountable**

[6] **QUESTION 2.** (Circle the correct answer.) Determine whether each of the following statements is **TRUE** or **FALSE**. Do not justify your answers.

(a) If $A \subseteq \mathbb{Q}$ is bounded and $A \neq \emptyset$ then A has a least upper bound that is a rational number.

TRUE **FALSE**

(b) Every non-empty subset of \mathbb{N} is bounded below.

TRUE **FALSE**

(c) For all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, $|2x + 3y| \leq 2|x| + 3|y|$.

TRUE **FALSE**

(d) If $f : A \rightarrow B$ is uniformly continuous on A then it is still possible that there is a point $a \in A$ where f is discontinuous.

TRUE **FALSE**

(e) Every Cauchy sequence of real numbers converges.

TRUE **FALSE**

(f) Every bijective function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is one-to-one.

TRUE **FALSE**

- [9] **QUESTION 3.** For each of the sets E in the table below, answer **YES** or **NO** in each column to indicate whether or not E is open, dense in \mathbb{R} , or compact. Do not justify your answers.

Set E	Open?	Dense in \mathbb{R} ?	Compact?
\mathbb{R}	YES	YES	NO
$\{3x + 2y : x, y \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}\}$	NO	YES	NO
$\{\sqrt{2}\} \cup \left\{\frac{\sqrt{2}}{n+1} : n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$	NO	NO	NO

- [6] **QUESTION 4.** For each of the sets E in the table below, fill in the associated point or set in each column, *i.e.*, for each set E state the greatest lower bound ($\inf(E)$), the closure (\overline{E}), and the boundary (∂E). If the requested point or set does not exist, then indicate this with the symbol \nexists . Do not justify your answers.

E	$\inf(E)$	\overline{E}	∂E
\mathbb{N}	1	E	E
$\{\sqrt{2}\} \cup \left\{\frac{\sqrt{2}}{n+1} : n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$	0	$E \cup \{0\}$	$E \cup \{0\}$

[10] **QUESTION 5.**

- [3] (a) *Complete the formal definition:*

Let $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Suppose x_0 is an accumulation point of E . Then f is said to approach the limit L as x approaches x_0 if and only if

for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that if $x \in E$, $x \neq x_0$, and $|x - x_0| < \delta$ then $|f(x) - L| < \varepsilon$.

Equivalently: $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists \delta > 0 \} (x \in E \wedge 0 < |x - x_0| < \delta) \implies |f(x) - L| < \varepsilon$.

- [7] (b) Consider the function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $f(x) = 3x + 1$. Use the formal definition to prove that $f(x)$ approaches 4 as x approaches 1.

Proof. Given $\varepsilon > 0$, we must find $\delta > 0$ such that if $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $0 < |x - 1| < \delta$ then $|(3x + 1) - 4| < \varepsilon$.

Note that $|(3x + 1) - 4| = |3x - 3| = 3|x - 1|$.

Therefore, given $\varepsilon > 0$, choose $\delta = \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$. Then, if $|x - 1| < \delta$,

$$|(3x + 1) - 4| = |3x - 3| = 3|x - 1| < 3\delta = 3\frac{\varepsilon}{3} = \varepsilon,$$

as required. □

[13] **QUESTION 6.**

[3] (a) (Fill in the blanks.) The **completeness axiom** for the set of real numbers states that if $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, $E \neq \emptyset$ and E is bounded then E has a least upper bound.

[3] (b) (Fill in the blanks.) Suppose that $\{a_n\}$ and $\{b_n\}$ are convergent sequences of real numbers, and $\{s_n\}$ is another sequence of real numbers. The **squeeze theorem** for sequences states that if

$$(i) \quad \frac{s_n \leq x_n \leq t_n \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N},}{\hspace{10em}}$$

$$\text{and (ii) } \frac{\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = L}{\hspace{10em}}$$

then $\{s_n\}$ converges and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = L$.

[7] (c) Suppose that $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and that E has a least upper bound ($\sup E = \alpha$). Prove that there is a sequence $\{e_n\}$ such that $e_n \in E$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} e_n = \alpha$.

Hint: Consider two cases: $\alpha \in E$ or $\alpha \notin E$. In the latter case, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $x \in E$ such that $x > \alpha - \varepsilon$.

Let's consider the two cases, as suggested. (Note that in order to establish the result, it isn't actually necessary to consider the case $\alpha \in E$ separately. But that case is simpler, so it provided an opportunity to get somewhere without solving the full problem.)

Case $\alpha \in E$: In this case, just take $e_n = \alpha$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $e_n \rightarrow \alpha$ trivially.

Case $\alpha \notin E$: First, let's verify the statement in the hint. Suppose, in order to derive a contradiction, that the statement in the hint is false. Thus, there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for all $x \in E$, $x \leq \alpha - \varepsilon$. But then $\alpha - \varepsilon$ is an upper bound for E that is less than $\alpha = \sup E$. $\Rightarrow \Leftarrow$. Therefore, the statement in the hint is true.

Since the statement in the hint is true, we can take advantage of it for $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{n}$, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $e_n \in E$ such that $e_n > \alpha - \frac{1}{n}$. Moreover, since $e_n \in E$ we must have $e_n \leq \sup E = \alpha$. Thus,

$$\alpha - \frac{1}{n} < e_n \leq \alpha, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (*)$$

We can now exploit the squeeze theorem: let $s_n = \alpha - \frac{1}{n}$ and $t_n = \alpha$ for all n . Then $s_n \rightarrow \alpha$ and $t_n \rightarrow \alpha$. Moreover, from (*) we have $s_n \leq e_n \leq t_n$ for all n . Hence, the Squeeze theorem implies that $e_n \rightarrow \alpha$. \square

*This page has been left blank intentionally to provide extra space for question 6 if needed.
Note that question 7 is on the next page.*

[14] **QUESTION 7.** A set $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is **compact** if and only if it satisfies any of the following three equivalent properties. Complete the definition of each property:

- [1] (a) E is closed and bounded;
- [2] (b) E has the Bolzano-Weierstrass property, *i.e.*, every sequence in $E \dots$

contains a subsequence that converges to a point in E .

- [2] (c) E has the Heine-Borel property, *i.e.*, every open cover of $E \dots$

contains a finite subcover of E .

- [9] (d) Use one of the definitions above to prove that if A and B are both non-empty, compact subsets of \mathbb{R} then $A \cup B$ is also compact.

Note: If you choose definition (a) then as part of your solution you **must prove** that the union of two closed sets is closed.

closed and bounded: Recall that E' refers to the set of accumulation points of a set E , and E is closed iff $E' \subseteq E$.

$A \cup B$ is closed: Let $x \in (A \cup B)'$. We must show that $x \in A \cup B$. If $x \in A'$ then $x \in A$ because A is closed; hence $x \in A \cup B$. Similarly, if $x \in B'$ then $x \in B$ because B is closed; hence $x \in A \cup B$. If $x \notin A'$ and $x \notin B'$ then there is a deleted neighbourhood of x that contains no points of A and no points of B , *i.e.*, no points of $A \cup B$, contradicting the fact that x is an accumulation point of $A \cup B$. Thus, either $x \in A'$ or $x \in B'$ (or both), which we have seen implies that $x \in A \cup B$.

$A \cup B$ is bounded: Since A is bounded, there exists $M_A > 0$ such that $\forall x \in A, |x| < M_A$. Similarly, $\exists M_B > 0 \ \forall x \in B \ |x| < M_B$. Therefore, any $x \in A \cup B$ satisfies $|x| < M \equiv \max(M_A, M_B)$, *i.e.*, $A \cup B$ is bounded. \square

This page has been left blank intentionally to provide extra space if needed.

Bolzano-Weierstrass : Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in $A \cup B$. We must show that $\{x_n\}$ contains a subsequence that converges to a point in $A \cup B$. Since there are infinitely many points in $\{x_n\}$, there must be infinitely points of $\{x_n\}$ in at least one of A or B , *i.e.*, $\{x_n\}$ must contain a subsequence that is either strictly in A or strictly in B . Suppose $\{x_n\}$ has a subsequence $\{a_n\} \subseteq A$. Since A is compact, $\{a_n\}$ has a subsequence $\{a_{n_k}\}$ that converges to a point $a \in A$. But $\{a_{n_k}\} \subseteq A \subseteq A \cup B$, so $\{a_{n_k}\}$ is a subsequence of $\{x_n\}$ that converges to a point $a \in A \subseteq A \cup B$. \square

Heini-Borel : Let \mathcal{U} be an open cover of $A \cup B$. We must show that \mathcal{U} contains a finite subcover of $A \cup B$. Since \mathcal{U} covers $A \cup B$, it certainly covers A , and since A is compact, \mathcal{U} contains a finite subcover of A , say $\{U_1, \dots, U_n\}$. Similarly, \mathcal{U} covers B , so it contains a finite subcover of B , say $\{V_1, \dots, V_m\}$. Therefore,

$$\{U_1, \dots, U_n\} \cup \{V_1, \dots, V_m\}$$

is a finite subcollection of \mathcal{U} that covers $A \cup B$. \square

This page has been left blank intentionally to provide extra space if needed.

This page has been left blank intentionally to provide extra space if needed.

THE END