## Mathematics 3A03 Real Analysis I Fall 2019 ASSIGNMENT 3 (Solutions)

This assignment was due on Tuesday 22 October 2019 at 2:25pm via crowdmark.

<u>Note</u>: Not all questions will be marked. The questions to be marked will be determined after the assignment is due.

1. Consider the sequence  $\{a_n\}$  defined by

 $a_1 = 0.1, a_2 = 0.12, a_3 = 0.123, \ldots, a_{12} = 0.123456789101112, \ldots$ 

Prove that  $\{a_n\}$  converges.

**Solution:**  $\{a_n\}$  is bounded  $(0 < a_n < 0.2 \text{ for all } n)$  and increasing, hence by the Monotone Convergence Theorem,  $\{a_n\}$  converges.

2. Suppose  $\{a_n\}$  and  $\{b_n\}$  are Cauchy sequences and let  $c_n = |a_n - b_n|$  for all n. Prove that  $\{c_n\}$  is Cauchy.

## Solution:

Since  $\{a_n\}$  and  $\{b_n\}$  are Cauchy, we know that given any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we can find  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $m, n \ge N$ ,  $|a_n - a_m| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$  and  $|b_n - b_m| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ . But then  $\forall m, n \ge N$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} |c_n - c_m| &= ||a_n - b_n| - |a_m - b_m|| \\ &\leq |(a_n - b_n) - (a_m - b_m)| \\ &= |(a_n - a_m) - (b_n - b_m)| \\ &\leq |a_n - a_m| + |b_n - b_m| \\ &< \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon , \end{aligned}$$

so  $c_n$  is Cauchy.

3. Suppose  $\{a_n\}$  is a sequence of real numbers. The following statement looks similar to the Cauchy criterion:

 $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists N \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } \forall n \ge N, |a_{n+1} - a_n| < \varepsilon.$ 

Prove that there is a sequence  $\{a_n\}$  that satisfies this criterion and yet is not Cauchy. **Solution:** Let  $a_n = \sqrt{n}$ , which diverges and is therefore not Cauchy. Then, for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |a_{n+1} - a_n| &= \sqrt{n+1} - \sqrt{n} \\ &= \left(\sqrt{n+1} - \sqrt{n}\right) \cdot \frac{\sqrt{n+1} + \sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{n+1} + \sqrt{n}} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n+1} + \sqrt{n}} \\ &< \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \,. \end{aligned}$$

Page 1 of 4

Therefore, given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , choose  $N = \lfloor 1/\varepsilon^2 \rfloor + 1$ , so  $N > \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}$  and hence  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} < \varepsilon$ . Then, for all  $n \ge N$ , we have

$$|a_{n+1} - a_n| < \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} < \varepsilon$$

as required.

- 4. Give examples of functions  $f : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}$  such that
  - (a) f is one-to-one but not onto; Solution: f(n) = 2n.
  - (b) *f* is onto but not one-to-one; **Solution:**

$$f(n) = \begin{cases} n & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ n/2 & \text{if } n \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

- (c) f is a bijection that is not the identity. Solution: f(n) = n + 1.
- 5. Prove or disprove: There exist functions  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  and  $g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  such that
  - (a) f is one-to-one but not onto, g is onto but not one-to-one, and  $f \circ g$  is a bijection; **Solution:** If f is not onto, then regardless of the range of g,  $f \circ g$  cannot be onto, so  $f \circ g$  cannot be a bijection.
  - (b) f is onto but not one-to-one, g is one-to-one but not onto, and  $f \circ g$  is a bijection. **Solution:** This can be done by squashing  $\mathbb{R}$  to the interval (-1, 1) and then stretching it back out to  $(-\infty, \infty)$ . For example, let

$$g(x) = \frac{x}{1+|x|}$$

$$f(x) \begin{cases} \frac{x}{1-|x|} & |x| < 1\\ 0 & |x| \ge 1. \end{cases}$$

Then g is one-to-one but not onto  $\mathbb{R}$ , f is onto  $\mathbb{R}$  but not one-to-one, and f(g(x)) = x is a bijection of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

- 6. Let U be an uncountable subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ , and let  $U_n = U \cap [-n, n]$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .
  - (a) Prove that for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $U_k$  is uncountable. **Solution:** Suppose  $U_k$  is countable for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $U = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} U_k$  is a countable union of countable sets, and hence is countable.  $\Rightarrow \Leftarrow$
  - (b) Prove that there is a convergent sequence  $\{a_n\}$  such that  $a_n \in U$  for all n and  $a_n \neq a_m$  whenever  $n \neq m$ .

**Solution:** Exploiting part (a), choose  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $U_k = U \cap [-k, k]$  is uncountable. In particular,  $U_k$  contains countably many distinct points, so there

is a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  of distinct points in  $U_k$ . But  $-k \leq x \leq k$  for all  $x \in U_k$ , so  $-k \leq x_n \leq k$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ; thus  $\{x_n\}$  is a bounded sequence. Therefore, the Bolzano-Weierstrass theorem implies that  $\{x_n\}$  contains a convergent subsequence, say  $\{a_n\}$ . Moreover, since  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence of distinct points, the subsequence  $\{a_n\}$  must also be a sequence of distinct points.  $\Box$ 

- 7. Let  $E = \{x : \sqrt{2} \le x \le \sqrt{3}, x \notin \mathbb{Q}\}.$ 
  - (a) Prove or disprove: E is open in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

**Solution:** False. Every point in an open set is contained in an open intervals that is a subset of the set. But any open interval containing any point in E contains rational numbers, *i.e.*, points *not* in E.

- (b) Prove or disprove: E is closed in  $\mathbb{R}$ . **Solution:** False.  $\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$  is dense in  $\mathbb{R}$ . In particular,  $\frac{3}{2}$  is an accumulation point of E, but  $\frac{3}{2} \notin E$ .
- (c) Find the interior of E in  $\mathbb{R}$ . **Solution:**  $E^{\circ} = \emptyset$ . This follows from the argument in part (a).
- (d) Find the closure of E in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Solution:  $\overline{E} = [\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3}].$
- (e) Find the boundary of E in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Solution:  $\partial E = [\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3}].$
- 8. Prove that the interval [0, 1] is compact, directly from the definitions of the each of the three equivalent characterizations of compactness:
  - (a) [0,1] is closed and bounded;

**Solution:** If  $x \in [0,1]$  then  $0 \le x \le 1$ , hence [0,1] is bounded. Suppose [0,1] is not closed. Then [0,1] has an accumulation point  $x \notin [0,1]$ . Hence either x < 0 or x > 1. Suppose x < 0. Then let  $\delta = -x/2$  and observe that  $(x - \delta, x + \delta)$  is a neighbourhood of x that contains no points of [0,1] other than x itself. Hence x is not an accumulation point of [0,1].  $\Rightarrow \Leftarrow$ . Therefore, [0,1] is closed.  $\Box$ 

(b) [0, 1] has the Bolzano-Weierstrass property;

**Solution:** This is a special case of the theorem proved in class that any closed and bounded set has the Bolzano-Weierstrass property.

(c) [0,1] has the Heine-Borel property.

**Solution:** This requires a clever argument, which is a key piece of the proof of the general Heine-Borel theorem. Suppose, in order to derive a contradiction, that [0, 1] does not have the Heine-Borel property, *i.e.*, there is an open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of [0, 1] that contains no finite subcover. Thus, infinitely many sets in  $\mathcal{U}$  are required to cover [0, 1]. Consider the two closed subintervals  $[0, \frac{1}{2}]$  and  $[\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ , obtained by bisecting [0, 1]. It must be that at least one of these subintervals cannot be covered by finitely many sets in  $\mathcal{U}$ . Call this subinterval  $I_1$  (if neither of the two subintervals can be covered by finitely many sets in  $\mathcal{U}$  then it doesn't matter which one we

choose). Now, by a similar argument, we can bisect  $I_1$  and find that one of its two subintervals (call it  $I_2$ ) cannot be covered by finitely many sets in  $\mathcal{U}$ . Continuing inductively, we have a nested sequence of closed intervals

$$[0,1] \equiv I_0 \supset I_1 \supset I_2 \supset \cdots,$$

none of which can be covered by finitely many sets in  $\mathcal{U}$ . Note that the length of  $I_n$  is  $\frac{1}{2^n}$ . Now choose a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  where  $x_k \in I_k$  for each k. Because the intervals are nested and shrink in length to zero,  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence, and therefore converges, say  $x_n \to L$ . Moreover, we must have  $L \in I_k$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  (otherwise we could isolate L from  $I_k$  for all k large enough, contradicting L being the limit of the sequence). Finally, since  $L \in [0, 1]$ , there exists  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $L \in U$ . But for sufficiently large n, we must have  $I_n \subset U$ , which means  $I_n$  is covered by just one set in  $\mathcal{U}$ .  $\Rightarrow \Leftarrow$  Thus, [0, 1] must have the Heine-Borel property.  $\Box$